Ring	Diameter, inches		Material Design	Manufactured	Stress on ID at Pressure, psi			Residual Stresses on ID, psi		
	OD	ID	Stress(a)	Interferences(b)	Radial	Hoop	Shear	Radial	Hoop	Shear
				7-Inch B	ore, 250,000	0 psi Conta	iner			1,
2 3 4 5 6	11.9 18.5 25.7 35.8 46.5	7.0 11.9 18.5 25.7 35.8	300,000 250,000 170,000 170,000 150,000	0.0453 0.0462 0.0702 0.0745	-254,000 -168,000 -108,000 - 70,000 - 30,000	8,000 37,000 51,000 95,000 117,000	131,000 102,000 80,000 82,000 74,000	0 -84,000 -77,000 -56,000 -26,000	-258,000 - 59,000 8,000 70,000 101,000	129,000 12,000 43,000 63,000 64,000
				4-Inch B	ore, 350,000	) psi Conta	iner			
1 2 3 4 5 6	7.0 11.9 18.5 25.7 35.8 46.5	4.0 7.0 11.9 18.5 25.7 35.8	350,000 300,000 215,000 160,000 160,000 140,000	0.0272 0.0441 0.0462 0.0660 0.0687	-366,000 -239,000 -161,000 -104,000 - 66,000 - 28,000	11,000 1,000 33,000 51,000 91,000 110,000	188,000 120,000 97,000 78,000 79,000 69,000	0 -121,000 -122,000 - 89,000 - 60,000 - 26,000	-361,000 -123,000 - 11,000 31,000 79,000 103,000	180,000 1,000 55,000 60,000 70,000 65,000

## TABLE 1.DESIGN DETAILS FOR TWO MULTI-RING HYDROSTATIC EXTRUSION CONTAINERS<br/>HAVING 5 RINGS IN COMMON

(a) The design stress for Rings 1, 2, and 3 was the ultimate tensile strength. The design stress for Rings 4, 5, and 6 was the yield tensile strength. The design stress is the right hand side of the fatigue relations, Equations (1a, b) in the text.

(b) Interferences between each ring before assembly.

S

where

- (a)  $A_n$ ,  $B_n$  are coefficients describing the material of ring number n,
- (b) subscript, r, denotes the semi-range stress component,
- (c) subscript, m, denotes the mean stress component, and
- (d)  $\sigma_n$  is the tensile strength of ring number n.

It is seen in Table 1 that to withstand 250,000 psi on the 7-inch bore (which is the inside diameter of Ring 2) an outside diameter of 46.5 inches and 5 rings are required. This design was influenced by the fact that a liner (Ring 1 in Table 1) is to be press-fitted in the 5-ring assembly to give a container having a 350,000 psi pressure capacity on a 4-inch bore. Details of this design are also given in Table 1.

The fatigue life of the two containers is expected to be  $10^5$  to  $10^6$  cycles under ideal conditions. They were designed to be operated at room temperature only.

The computer program was not capable of exactly matching the requirements of the two containers and so the calculated interferences for the 6-ring container differ slightly from those obtained for the 5-ring. However, if Ring 1 is removed from the 6-ring container it is seen in Table 2 that the stresses in the remaining 5 rings compare very closely with the optimum design stresses required to contain 250,000 psi. Thus, the design interferences for the 6-ring container will be adopted for the multi-purpose hydrostatic extrusion container. However, the higher design strengths of the outer four rings of the 5-ring, 250,000 psi container will be used.

	Stresses of	on ID at Press	sure, psi	Residu	l Stresses on .	ID, psi
Ring	Radial	Ноор	Shear	Radial	Hoop	Shear
	Stres	sses in 5 Ring	s of 6-Ring C	ontainer (Line	er Removed)	
2	-250,000	12,000	131,000	1,000	-250,000	125,000
3	-164,000	38,000	101,000	-82,000	- 57,000	12,000
4	-105,000	53,000	79,000	-74,000	11,000	43,000
5	- 67,000	92,000	80,000	-54,000	67,000	61,000
6	- 28,000	111,000	70,000	-24,000	95,000	60,000
	5	Stresses in 5-	Ring Containe	r (Optimum I	Design)	
2	-254,000	8,000	131,000	0	-258,000	129,000
3	-168,000	37,000	102,000	-84,000	- 59,000	12,000
4	-108,000	51,000	80,000	-77,000	8,000	43,000
5	- 70,000	95,000	82,000	-56,000	70,000	63,000
6	- 30,000	117,000	74,000	-26,000	101,000	64,000

TABLE 2.COMPARISON OF STRESSES IN THE OUTER 5 RINGS OF THE<br/>6-RING CONTAINER (LINER REMOVED) WITH THOSE IN THE<br/>5-RING CONTAINER